The Ship it Zero Report Card grades companies based on the Ship it Zero campaign's three campaign demands, which are End Port Pollution Now, Abandon Dirty Ships, and Put Zero at the Helm. Amazon earned an F in the ending port pollution category; a D in abandoning dirty ships; and a B in putting zero at the helm. Overall, Amazon earned 54.75 / 100 available points, or a D grade, on the Ship it Zero 2023 Report Card for the company's actions to date to end its ocean shipping pollution.

Amazon is a global retail giant with enormous power and influence in both global markets and over its own shipping pollution footprint. The company's score on its efforts to reduce its shipping pollution was disappointing. While this report card is particularly weighted towards ocean shipping emissions reduction, it also includes credit for steps taken to reduce air pollution impacts from other port operations and product transportation emissions more broadly.

It is important to note that Amazon has been a leader in its commitments to reduce its maritime shipping pollution, including being a founding member of Cargo Owners for Zero Emission Shipping (coZEV) and the Zero Emission Maritime Buyers Alliance (ZEMBA). It also joined the First Movers Coalition, an international initiative to eliminate greenhouse gas emissions from so-called "hard-to-abate" sectors like ocean shipping. It has also invested research and development funds in companies developing zero-emission maritime fuels.

Despite Amazon's commitments and investments, the company performed particularly poorly on near-term implementation. Its target date for net-zero emissions from its ocean shipping is 2040, a level of ambition that falls far short of what is needed to address the urgency of the climate crisis. Amazon has also failed to address the outsized impact its fossil fueled shipping air pollution has on port and coastal neighborhoods. The company also scored poorly on transparency. This was due its insistence that its Carbon Disclosure Project report not be made publicly available and that its 2030 shipping target is solely cargo volume based target (i.e., just 10% of its cargo on zero-emission vessels by 2030).

Meaningful measurement of its performance on its climate goals is impossible without absolute greenhouse gas reduction targets and full public disclosure of its transportation related emissions, particularly as its cargo volumes continue to grow.

Amazon is unique among retail brands in that it also owns and operates its own proprietary freight service through its subsidiary Amazon Global Logistics (AMZD)/ Beijing Century Joyo Courier Services. This service includes ocean container shipping, though AMZD is a non-vessel operating common carrier (NVOCC) or ocean forwarder. This means that other carriers (container shipping lines) are shipping cargo on the company's behalf under bills of lading issued by AMZD.

The company's performance was evaluated on both its retail brand performance and that of its in-house ocean freight service. There is no evidence to suggest that AMZD has implemented any changes in its logistics policies or engaged in negotiations with carriers to reduce air or greenhouse gas pollution. Some examples of what these measures could include are reduced ship speeds to conserve fuel, resulting in lower emissions (referred to as slow steaming) or prioritizing vessels that are equipped to plug into onshore power while in port, thus eliminating the need to run the ship engine while cargo is loaded or unloaded. To the contrary, AMZD offers expedited ocean shipping services and less than a container (LCL) shipments.

Amazon also lost points for its investments in compressed natural gas (CNG) fueled trucks. While Amazon claims the methane gas is sourced from waste products, bio-CNG is often mixed with fossil gas. It is also neither a scalable solution for the transportation sector nor the most efficient use of methane captured from wastes.

Further, regardless of its feedstock, methane is a potent greenhouse gas that has more than 80 times more climate disrupting impacts than an equivalent amount of CO₂ in a 20-year timeframe. CNG fueled vehicles emit fugitive methane emissions. This is not only a problem for the climate, but also for human health. Methane is a precursor to ground level ozone, which has significant impacts on respiratory health, especially in children. Finally, Amazon also lost points for its decision to back out of its Shipment Zero commitment earlier this year.

The Ship it Zero coalition calls on Amazon to commit to 100% zero-emission ocean shipping by 2030, rapidly implement shorter-term emissions reduction solutions, such as shipping exclusively on shore power-ready vessels, prioritizing ports with shore power availability for container ships, opting for and offering slow steaming for its cargo and cargo customers, and using cleaner burning fuels such as marine gas oil, or biofuels in the very short term while zero-emission fuels and technologies are brought to scale.

We applaud the company’s leadership in investing in zero-emission fuels development and in the role it has played in founding coZEV, ZEMBA, and in joining the First Movers Coalition. We urge Amazon to further invest in zero-emission port infrastructure, end its use of CNG vehicles, and use its considerable political capital to promote fossil-free green shipping corridors.
### End Port Pollution Now | TOTAL SCORE: 11.5

#### Performance Criteria | Possible Points | Company Score
--- | --- | ---
End Port Pollution Now: Commitment (30% of category grade) | 10.5 | 4.5
- Publicly-stated air pollution reduction commitment
- Commitment to shipping goods on shore power-ready vessels
- Member of corporate initiatives that reduce air pollution (coZEV, ZEMBA)
- Founding member of coZEV, ZEMBA

End Port Pollution Now: Implementation Plan (20% of category grade) | 7 | 0.5
- Taking steps to quantify air pollution from transport of goods on oceangoing vessels
- Providing funding directly or indirectly for air pollution reduction measures/fuels/infrastructure in ports (ZEV, operations, drayage)
- Have a logistics policy that prioritizes clean ports/carriers, air pollution reductions with benchmarks
- Deducting points for LNG/CNG port operations and drayage

End Port Pollution Now: Advocacy (20% of category grade) | 7 | 3
- Dedicated staff negotiating with ports for pollution reduction operational measures, infrastructure and/or fuels
- Public support for policy or regulatory measures to reduce port pollution (climate)
- Joining coZEV

End Port Pollution Now: Transparency (30% of category grade) | 10.5 | 3.5
- Annual reporting on overall GHG and criteria pollutant emissions (Scope 1-3) reductions
  - Only Scope 1 & 2
  - Scope 1 & 2 + partial criteria pollutant
  - Scope 1-3 + criteria pollutant reporting
  - Scope 1-3 + criteria pollutants
- Public disclosure of transport emissions
- Broken down by maritime vs. terrestrial transport type (ocean vs. land)
- Public reporting of routes and ports of unlading
- Public reporting of percent of cargo on cleaner and shore power-ready vessels

### Abandon Dirty Ships | TOTAL SCORE: 17

#### Performance Criteria | Possible Points | Company Score
--- | --- | ---
Abandon Dirty Ships: Commitment (30% of category grade) | 9 | 4.75
- Absolute GHG reduction targets that include Scope 3 emissions
- Transport explicit targets
- Maritime targets
- Commitment to move cargo to lower-emission vessels immediately
- Benchmarks, including reductions by 2030
- 100% ZEV by 2030
- Using LNG or carbon credits as a purported solution

---

1. Cleaner fuels, but no infrastructure or short-term measures
2. Transport emissions lumped in with other unrelated emissions, like packaging
3. Amazon has made a maritime-specific commitment in the past, but the company “decided to eliminate it.”
4. Cargo volume, not emissions target
### Abandon Dirty Ships: Implementation Plan

(20% of category grade)

- Contracts for lower-emission maritime transportation or goods transported on lower-emission maritime transportation and/or use of lower-emission vessels in cargo owner owned or chartered vessels: 4/6
- RFP for lower-emission shipping: 2/6
- Absolute emissions increases from transportation/ failure to disclose transport emissions: -2/6
- Absolute emissions reductions from transport: Bonus +2/6

### Abandon Dirty Ships: Advocacy

(20% of category grade)

- Publicly support strengthening the level of ambition of the GHG reduction policies: 4/6
- Advocate against fossil fuel bunkering infrastructure expansions/for ZEV infrastructure with legislators, regulators, and/or ports: 2/6

### Abandon Dirty Ships: Transparency

(30% of category grade)

- Annual public disclosure of maritime cargo carriers and volumes of goods per carrier: 0.5/2
- Annual public reporting of modes of product transport by percentage of goods: 0/2
- Annual public reporting of transport emissions: 4.5/2
- Annual public reporting of maritime emissions: 2/2
- Annual reporting of percentage of goods on lower & ZEV emission vessels: 0.5/2
- Annual disclosure of fuels or technologies employed by reported lower emission and ZEVs: 0.5/2

### Put Zero at the Helm: Commitment

(30% of category grade)

- General climate commitment
  - Commitment applies to Scope 3
  - Scope 3 commitment mentions logistics, distribution, upstream transportation, supply chain
  - 2040 vs. 2050
- Upstream transport-specific commitment
  - “100% zero-emission maritime shipping by 2030” — with benchmark absolute GHG reduction targets
  - Publicly commit to 100% ZEV by 2030
  - Commitment to move freight onto low- and zero-emission vessels (with time-bound targets)
  - Set short-term targets for moving increasing volumes of cargo on cleaner ships, i.e., MGO/Hybrid powered vessels, shore power-equipped vessels
  - Mentions low- and zero-emission vessels
  - Timeline: by 2030 vs. 2040 vs. 2050
- Maritime-specific reduction commitment
  - Member of Science-Based Target Initiative (a We Mean Business Coalition commitments)
  - ZEMBA membership
  - CoZEV membership

---

5 Maersk’s EcoDelivery since 2020
6 First Mover’s Coalition; are advocating for climate policies, but info is sparse on content
# Zero-Emission Shipping Report Card

**Performance Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Put Zero at the Helm: Implementation Plan</th>
<th>Possible Points</th>
<th>Company Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(20% of category grade)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ZEMBA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Benchmarks for moving cargo onto ZEVs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Working with carriers, ports, regulators and policymakers and/or entering partnerships or investing financially in the development of ZEV shipping corridors and/or ZEV technologies, ZEV infrastructure projects and newbuilds</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engage suppliers and urge them to adopt the SBTi (Science-Based Targets initiative)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Efficiency and optimization (e.g., reducing packaging size and weight)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Put Zero at the Helm: Advocacy**

(20% of category grade)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible Points</th>
<th>Company Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• First Movers Coalition</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CoZEV</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sustainable Freight Buyers Alliance, “Clean Cargo” (hosted by Smart Freight Centre), Clean Energy Buyers Association, Carbon Disclosure Project’s Supply Chain program, RE100, Ceres, LEO coalition, or WWF’s Climate Business Network</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Publicly demanding and/or advocating carriers incorporate existing or future GHG reduction technologies and operational measures</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Public support for green corridors
- Expressions of public support for zero-emission shipping development
- Zero-emission, fossil-free cargo ships
- Cleaner fuels
- Fossil-free propulsion technologies
- Efficiency retrofits such as wind-assisted propulsion, hull coatings, slow steaming, and route planning, shore power, and offshore zero-emission charging stations

**Put Zero at the Helm: Transparency**

(30% of category grade)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible Points</th>
<th>Company Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CDP Climate report</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annual CSR report with GHG and air pollution disclosure</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Includes Scope 3</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mentions more than CO₂, NOX, SOX, PM, HC, NFCs, etc.</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Discloses Category 4 (“upstream”) transportation or “distribution”</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mentions maritime shipping specifically</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Methodology underlying calculations</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 3rd party verification of calculations</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reporting progress toward achieving interim benchmarks and long-term commitment</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Uses and/or requires vendors to use the Global Logistics Emissions Council framework</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Filed a CDP report but asked that it not be made public